# SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, JULY 20, 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

4th Dist. In re the Adoption of Felanie F.

E025172 -----

E026247 Ray T. et al., Respondents

Div. 2 v.

S088308 Danny Ray O., Appellant

Petition for review DENIED.

The request for an order directing publication of the opinion is denied.

Due to clerical error, this order is entered nunc pro tunc, effective June 21, 2000.

### S007531 People, Respondent

V.

Kevin Bernard Haley, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including September 19, 2000.

#### S012852 People, Respondent

V.

Robert Edward Maury, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including September 18, 2000.

#### S024833 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Wade Farley, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 15, 2000.

#### S027555 People, Respondent

v.

Alfredo R. Prieto, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 12, 2000.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

## S029550 People, Respondent

v.

Duane Holloway, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 19, 2000.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

## S055856 People, Respondent

V.

Orlando Gene Romero and Christopher Self, Appellants

On application of appellant Christopher Self and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including September 25, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

# S058157 People, Respondent

v.

Michael Nevail Pearson, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including August 24, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

# S085942 People, Appellant

v.

Phillip K. King, Respondent

On application of appellant (People) and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief on the merits is extended to and including August 23, 2000.

# S086153 People, Appellant

v.

Dominic D. Slayton, Respondent

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including August 2, 2000.

#### S082662 People, Respondent

v.

Robert Nelson Atkins, Appellant

Respondent's request to file reply brief exceeding 15-page limit is hereby GRANTED.

# S086518 Dart Industries Incorporated, Respondent

v.

Commercial Union Insurance Company, Appellant

The application of Paul M. Smith and Stephanie A. Scharf for permission to appear pro hac vice on behalf of respondent is hereby GRANTED.

# S086967 People, Respondent

V.

Maureen Elizabeth Thomas et al., Appellants

Upon request of appellant Michael E. Mass for appointment of counsel, Robert Wayne Gehring is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

#### S087381 People, Respondent

v.

Aaron Earl Fulgham, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, David Morse is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

# S088288 In re **Gilbert S. Azafrani** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Gilbert S. Azafrani, State Bar No. 97395**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed March 17, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, unless he has already passed it in connection with State Bar Court Case No. 97-O-17361, et al. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the

State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fee for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

## S088289 In re **Charles H. Krohn** on Discipline

It is ordered that Charles H. Krohn, State Bar No. 77073, be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law in accordance with standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct; that execution of the four-year suspension be stayed; and that Krohn be placed on probation for three years on conditions including that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for eighteen months with credit given for the period of his involuntary inactive enrollment, which commenced on July 19, 1998 (Bus. & Prof. Code § 6007, subd. (d)(3). In addition, Krohn is ordered to comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its opinion filed March 7, 2000, as modified by its order filed March 27, 2000. Krohn is also ordered to take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

# S088325 In re **Robert Samuel Simon** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Robert Samuel Simon, State Bar No. 187823,** be actually suspended from the practice of law for 60 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other terms of the stipulation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation executed on March 17, 2000. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S089813 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Manny C. Martinez** A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Manny C. Martinez, State Bar No. 134069,** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted

without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089838 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Robert John Shutak** A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Robert John Shutak**, **State Bar No. 96648**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)